



Indian Journal of Psychiatry

OFFICIAL PUBLICATION OF THE INDIAN PSYCHIATRIC SOCIETY

ISSN 0019-5545

Volume 52, Supplement

January 2010

EDITORIAL

Indian Psychiatry and Indian journal of Psychiatry - A journey
T. S. SATHYANARAYANA RAO, G. SWAMINATH, G. PRASAD RAO

REVIEW ARTICLES

History of psychiatry in India

S. HAQUE NIZAMIE, NISHANT GOYAL

Indian journal of psychiatry and psychiatric research in India: Past, Present and Future

AJAI R. SINGH

The evolution of Indian psychiatric research: An examination of the early decades of the Indian journal of Psychiatry

RAJIV RADHAKRISHNAN, CHITTARANJAN ANDRADE

Research priorities for Indian psychiatry

VIKRAM PATEL

From local to global – Contributions of Indian psychiatry to international psychiatry

R. SRINIVASA MURTHY

Indian psychiatry: Research and international perspectives

ROY ABRAHAM KALLIVAYALIL, RAKESH K. CHADDA,

JUAN E. MEZZICH

Partnerships for promoting dissemination of mental health research globally

HELEN HERRMAN

Indian – American contributions to psychiatric research

ANAND K. PANDURANGI

Mutual learning and research messages: India, UK, and Europe

GURVINDER KALRA, DINESH BHUGRA

Psychiatrists and neuroscientists of Indian origin in Canada: Glimpses

AMRESH SHRIVASTAVA, D. NATARAJAN

Indian psychiatry, research and Asian countries

J. K. TRIVEDI, PAWAN KUMAR GUPTA, RAHUL SAHA

Indian psychiatry and research in Pakistan

HAROON RASHID CHAUDHRY

Contribution of Indian psychiatry in the development of psychiatry in Nepal

TAPAS KUMAR AICH

Shared challenges in psychiatric research in India and Sri Lanka

HARISCHANDRA GAMBHEERA, SHEHAN WILLIAMS

Training and National deficit of psychiatrists in India – A critical analysis

M. THIRUNAVUKARASU, P. THIRUNAVUKARASU

Postgraduate training in psychiatry in India

SHRIDHAR SHARMA

Indian Psychiatric epidemiological studies: Learning from the past

SURESH BADA MATH, RAVINDRA SRINIVASARAJU

Indian Psychiatry and classification of psychiatric disorders

K. S. JACOB

Initiatives in biological research in Indian Psychiatry

AMRESH SHRIVATAVA

Molecular biology research in neuropsychiatry: India's contribution

T. S. SATHYANARAYANA RAO, B. N. RAMESH, P. VASUDEVARAJU,

K. S. J. RAO

Cognitive psychiatry in India

P. K. DALAL, T. SIVAKUMAR

Biological investigations in Indian psychiatry

RISHIKESH V. BEHERE, NAREN P. RAO,

GANESAN VENKATASUBRAMANIAN

Indian research on acute organic brain syndrome: Delirium

CHARLES PINTO

Indian research on aging and dementia

K. S. SHAJI, V. P. JITHU, K. S. JYOTHI

Early intervention in psychotic disorders: Challenges and relevance in the Indian context

MATCHERI S. KESHAVAN, AMRESH SHRIVASTAVA,

BANGALORE N. GANGADHAR

An overview of Indian research in Schizophrenia

PARMANAND KULHARA, RUCHITA SHAH, K. R. AARYA

An overview of Indian research in bipolar mood disorder

PRASAD G. RAO

An overview of Indian research in depression

SANDEEP GROVER, ALAKANANDA DUTT, AJIT AVASTHI

Substance use and addiction research in India

PRATIMA MURTHY, N. MANJUNATHA, B. N. SUBODH,

PRABHAT KUMAR CHAND, VIVEK BENEGAL

An overview of Indian research in obsessive compulsive disorder

S1 Y. C. JANARDHAN REDDY, NAREN P. RAO, SUMANT KHANNA

An overview of Indian research in anxiety disorders

S10 J. K. TRIVEDI, PAWAN KUMAR GUPTA

Research in child and adolescent psychiatry in India

S7 PRIYAVADAN CHANDRAKANT SHASTRI, JAY P. SHASTRI,

DIMPLE SHASTRI

Learning and other developmental disorders in India

S13 PHILIP JOHN

Indian research on women and psychiatry

S19 RAKESH K. CHADDA, MAMTA SOOD

Psyche and soma: New insights into the connection

S26 RAHUL KUMAR, VIKRAM K. YERAGANI

Understanding and managing somatoform disorders: Making sense of non-sense

S30 ROY ABRAHAM KALLIVAYALIL, VARGHESE P PUNNOOSE

Indian research on comorbidities

S38 ASHISH SRIVASTAVA, SREEJAYAN K., ANUP M. JOSEPH,

P. S. V. N. SHARMA

An overview of Indian research in personality disorders

S43 PRATAP SHARAN

Indian research on sleep disorders

S47 NILESH SHAH, ABHA BANG, APARNA BHAGAT

Sexuality research in India: An update

S56 OM PRAKASH, T. S. SATHYANARAYANA RAO

Sexual variation in India: A view from the west

S64 GURVINDER KALRA, SUSHAM GUPTA, DINESH BHUGRA

HIV and mental health: An overview of research from India

S68 NISHANTH JAYARAJAN, PRABHA S. CHANDRA

Publications on community psychiatry

S72 R. THARA, SUSHMA RAMESHKUMAR, C. GREESHMA MOHAN

Psychiatric rehabilitation

S76 H. CHANDRASHEKAR, N. R. PRASHANTH, P. KASTHURI,

S. MADHUSUDHAN

Disabilities research in India

S80 H. CHANDRASHEKAR, C. NAVEEN KUMAR, N. R. PRASHANTH,

P. KASTHURI

Indian research on disaster and mental health

S83 NILAMADHAB KAR

Indian research on suicide

S89 LAKSHMI VIJAYAKUMAR

A review of Indian psychiatry research and ethics

S95 A. K. AGARWAL

Forensic psychiatry revisited

S104 S. NAMBI

Indian culture and psychiatry

S110 SHIV GAUTAM, NIKHIL JAIN

Military psychiatry in India

H. R. A. PRABHU

Research on antipsychotics in India

S120 AJIT AVASTHI, MUNISH AGGARWAL, SANDEEP GROVER,

MOHD KHALID RASHEED KHAN

Research on antidepressants in India

S128 AJIT AVASTHI, SANDEEP GROVER, MUNISH AGGARWAL

Research on mood stabilizers in India

S136 AJIT AVASTHI, SANDEEP GROVER, MUNISH AGGARWAL

Research on electroconvulsive therapy in India: An overview

S139 BANGALORE N. GANGADHAR, VIVEK H. PHUTANE,

JAGADISHA THIRTHALLI

Psychotherapy in India

S148 L. S. S. MANICKAM

Indian contribution to behavior therapy

S153 K. KURUVILLA

Indian scales and inventories

S159 S. VENKATESAN

Liaison psychiatry and Indian research

S173 S. R. PARKAR, N. S. SAWANT

Role of non-governmental organizations in mental health in India

S178 R. THARA, VIKRAM PATEL

Women psychiatrists in India: A reflection of their contributions

S189 MAMTA SOOD, RAKESH K. CHADDA

S200

S210

S219

S224

S229

S233

S240

S246

S250

S255

S260

S264

S269

S274

S278

S281

S286

S291

S297

S306

S309

S314

S317

S341

S355

S362

S366

S371

S378

S386

S389

S396

Online at

www.indianjpsychiatry.org

Published by

Medknow Publications

Research priorities for Indian psychiatry

Vikram Patel

Department of Epidemiology and Population Health, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine Sangath, Public Health Foundation of India, Alto Porvorim, Goa, India

ABSTRACT

This article summarises the findings of recent priority setting exercises for psychiatric research and of a mapping of research capacity and resources in south Asia. The priorities for research in the region, as in other developing countries, are related to ‘implementation’ science, i.e. the field of inquiry investigating acceptable and affordable methods of delivering effective treatments for mental disorders, which aims to help close the large treatment gap. “Discovery” research which aims to strengthen our understanding of the nature of mental disorders through well-designed epidemiological and descriptive clinical studies, and expand the armamentarium of effective treatments by mapping and evaluating indigenous approaches to mental health care is also an important priority. However, research capacity and resources in the region are scarce and need strengthening by action from diverse stakeholders including the Indian Psychiatric Society.

Key words: Research priorities; research capacity; implementation science; epidemiology

The primary role for research in the context of medicine is to generate knowledge which will ultimately lead to improvement in health. In the field of psychiatry, our primary concern is the mental health of the population we serve. The reality of mental health care in India is bleak: A population of over a billion is served by less than 4000 psychiatrists, the majority of whom are based in cities and concentrated in a few states; about 20,000 inpatient beds, 80% of which are located in 40 odd mental hospitals most of which were built decades ago; and the almost total absence of community or primary care based mental health services with the notable exceptions of a few NGO and public initiatives scattered around the country.^[1] It is not surprising, then, that this situation leads to a large “treatment gap”. At best, no more than half of all persons with serious mental disorders in India receive the care we know can help improve their quality of lives; amongst those who do, the care is often entirely medication based, and for many who find their way to mental hospitals, characterized by loss of dignity and frank human right abuse.^[2] This situation is by

no means unique to India; indeed it is mirrored in most low and middle income countries.

The large treatment gap was the primary motivation for the landmark *Lancet* series on global mental health published in 2007. As part of that series, a systematic research priority setting exercise was carried out in the context of the call to action to scale up services for people with mental disorders based on the twin principles of evidence and protection of human rights.^[3] The detailed findings of this exercise were published recently.^[4] This exercise focused on four mental disorders: Schizophrenia and other major psychotic disorders; major depressive disorder and other common mental disorders; alcohol abuse and other substance abuse disorders; and child and adolescent mental disorders. Across all four conditions, priority research questions related to delivery issues: i.e. How can we deliver treatments known to be effective in a cost-effective manner and through routine health care systems. Child and adolescent mental disorders, and alcohol use disorders were given the highest priority, an indication of the relatively large treatment gaps for these conditions and the paucity of contextually appropriate evidence on these conditions. The lowest rankings were given to discovery research options, for example those that proposed the development of new interventions and technologies, new drugs, vaccines or pharmacological agents.

This exercise proposed that in the context of the large

Address for correspondence: Prof. Vikram Patel,
London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine Sangath,
Public Health Foundation of India, Alto Porvorim, Goa, India.
E-mail: vikram.patel@lshtm.ac.uk

How to cite this article: Patel V. Research priorities for Indian psychiatry. *Indian J Psychiatry* 2010;52:S26-9.

treatment gaps, and with a time frame of the next 10 years, investments would be best placed in health policy and systems research in order to provide guidance about how to increase access to cost-effective treatments. In particular, the emphasis in these priorities was to better understand how to deliver what we know works in an affordable manner, what has been referred to as 'implementation' science.^[5] A particularly important research question in this context is to develop and evaluate mental health interventions for delivery by non-specialist health workers, a strategy referred to as 'task-shifting' in current global health parlance. There is now a growing evidence base on the effectiveness of such interventions from South Asia, and these need to be evaluated and replicated.^[6-9]

The Manas trial, the largest trial for any mental disorder in any low/middle income country, is an example of such an ambitious enterprise;^[10] its results on the clinical benefits and cost-effectiveness of a lay health worker led intervention for depression and anxiety disorders in primary care in Goa will be published in 2010. The COPSI trial, which is evaluating a community based intervention for people with schizophrenia based on a model which was developed and field-tested in Madhya Pradesh,^[7,11] is currently in progress in three sites in India (Goa, rural Tamil Nadu and Satara) and represents a unique partnership between NGOs and private psychiatrists.

A key challenge to implement these research priorities is that of capacity and resources to implement research. Despite a large medical school network, our region has barely contributed to the mental health research literature,^[12] especially in the field of intervention research.^[13]

A recent initiative, led by the Global Forum for Health Research and the World Health Organization (WHO), aimed to assess research resources and capacity and describe the current research agendas, priority-setting, and impact of research on policy. Six regional teams implemented a common protocol; the South Asian team was led by the author and included partners in Pakistan, Sri Lanka, Bangladesh and Nepal. The research had three components. The first component was carrying out a standardized search of Medline and Psycinfo databases for all indexed research literature from the respective countries. This was supplemented by a search of local journals, databases and grey literature. A database comprising the names of active researchers in the region with their details was compiled. The second component consisted of a survey of all the lead authors of articles identified through the search. The questionnaire elicited a range of information on research including research resources, methods, priorities and funding. In the final phase of the project we carried out in-depth interviews with key informants to elicit their responses on some of the salient findings of the survey and their views on the interface of policy and research.

Findings of the global survey have been published recently.^[14,15] The findings specifically related to South Asia are summarized here.

From the search of the indexed literature, over a five-year period for India (1998 to 2003) and 10 years for the other countries (1993 to 2003), 899 articles from South Asia were identified. India accounted for 4 out of 5 papers published in indexed journals from the region. The next most important contributors were Pakistan and Sri Lanka. In general, the proportionate distribution of articles in the indexed literature is roughly equivalent to the proportion of the population of South Asia for each country; Sri Lanka seems to be an exception with a relatively higher proportion of articles in the indexed literature. No articles were identified from three countries: Maldives, Afghanistan and Bhutan. Less than half of the indexed articles were published in journals with an ISI Impact Factor. The mean IF of these articles was moderate (2.81, 95% CI 2.48-3.14; estimated on the IFs in 2005). Eight authors accounted for the lead authorship of nearly 10% of the total output of the region. We also searched the local and non-indexed literature from various databases, journals and libraries in each country and identified a total of 475 articles.

From this research publication database, we were able to identify and locate addresses for 691 researchers of whom 223 responded to the questionnaire survey. Majority of the respondents were psychiatrists (46%). The majority worked in hospitals, research organizations or universities with relatively few in private sector organizations. Only about 1/3 of the respondents reported belonging to a research network (either local or international). With regard to access to the literature on mental health, 87% of respondents reported having access to internet resources but mostly to free databases. Only 20% of respondents had access to paid internet sites with full text articles. In all, 70% researchers reported that they had not received any kind of research fellowship or grants in the five years prior to the survey. The most important obstacle hindering research was lack of funds (52%) followed by lack of time. Although the vast majority of respondents (87%) had some training in at least one of the major research methods, there did not appear to be enough of a critical mass in any one research methodology.

Research priorities were elicited through two routes: First, by asking direct questions on priorities and priority-setting process; second, by eliciting details about the three most recent mental health research projects. The latter yielded data on 468 research projects. There was a high level of concordance between reported priorities and actual research topics. The most commonly cited priorities epidemiological studies of the burden of disease and risk factors as the first priority for future mental health research (48%). This was followed by health systems (17%) and social

science research (16%). This rank ordering was identical for the actual research projects. Similarly, in terms of mental health conditions, the priorities for future research, and the commonest research project subjects, were anxiety-depression followed by psychosis and substance abuse. Women, children and adolescents and the poor were identified as the three most important marginalized populations for mental health research.

Overall, there were very small numbers of research publications. In the five years prior to the survey, only 36% of the respondents had greater than five local publications (and 8% had more than five in international journals), with no significant differences by country. Only 22% of researchers reported one or more conference presentations a year. Researchers reported a reasonable amount of media coverage with 61% noting that their research findings were covered in the local newspaper. Print media was much more likely to be the mode of coverage than electronic media. Despite this coverage, only about 27% of respondents reported having had their research publicized in the form of direct policy materials for policy makers.

This study concluded that the South Asian region suffers from very inadequate mental health research resources in terms of both financial support (funding for individuals and institutions) and professional support (e.g. involvement in research networks, access to the literature, training in research methodology). Though some examples of research impacting policy are available, in general there is little interface between research and policy. The project has identified the major mental health research priorities and resources for the region, which are shared by both researchers and stakeholders; this agenda needs to be implemented through a concerted effort to build research capacity, improve the communication of findings to a wide range of stakeholders (in particular policy makers), and advocate for research resources in the region.

Indian psychiatry has a critically important role to play in furthering the research agenda identified through these two systematic exercises. Elsewhere, I have argued that the role of psychiatry in developing countries needs to fundamentally change from focusing on individual clinical care to a much broader set of public health skills, including research.^[16] Without such a radical revision of the scope of our work, the treatment gap will not reduce. In order to achieve this transformation, there is a need for much stronger training in research methodology, preferably integrated with post-graduate training. The very limited impact of the MD dissertations on mental health policy and practice in India reflects on the relatively weak mentorship currently available in most medical schools.

The recent renaissance in public health training, best

exemplified by the new Public Health Foundation of India's networks of public health schools around the country,^[17] offers exciting new opportunities to strengthen research training of psychiatrists. There are a growing number of training programs in public mental health and research (see www.globalmentalhealth.org for a full list); these include short courses such as the Development and Evaluation of Complex Interventions and the Leadership in Mental Health courses, run by Sangath in Goa (www.sangath.com). The Indian Psychiatric Society could forge an alliance with these initiatives to run research training courses, and promote innovation in the use of mixed-methods teaching curricula where part of the course work is done by distance thereby minimizing the amount of time trainees need to spend in a class-room.

We also need to look beyond delivery questions. The truth is that even the best treatment in psychiatry has limited impact on mental health and related social outcomes. There is a renewed need for *discovery* of more effective treatments, both pharmacological and psychological, which can increase the armamentarium of modern psychiatry. The South Asian region is home to traditional systems of medicines which have long addressed mental disorders. A comprehensive research program which documents and evaluates the pharmacological agents used by these systems, and which evaluates locally appropriate psychological and social interventions for mental disorders, holds out the exciting promise of discovering altogether new treatments for use in biomedical psychiatry.

We need to be inspired by the re-discovery of artemisinin, the wonder drug for malaria which was used as a traditional Chinese herbal remedy for malaria since 200 BC (<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Artemisinin>). Yoga, for example, could be an important contribution to evidence-based treatments for mental disorders globally.^[18] Further, we are still a long way from understanding the etiology of most mental disorders; researching population in diverse cultural and social contexts is a critically important step to building our knowledge base on the nature of mental disorders.

Thus, Indian psychiatry needs to invest in research at both the delivery and discovery ends of the research spectrum,^[19] and to ally with public health training initiatives in the region to strengthen research capacity. The ultimate mission of research in psychiatry in our region must be both to close the treatment gap and to strengthen the scientific evidence base for the discipline of psychiatry.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am grateful to my colleagues in the Global Forum Mapping Project: Smita Naik, Omar Rahman, Haider Naqvi, Sisira Sirribadanna, Suraj Bahadur Thapa, Murad Khan, Nirupama Basnet, Athula Sumathipala and Kishori Mandrekar. The project was funded by the Global

Forum for Health Research. VP is supported by a Wellcome Trust Senior Clinical Research Fellowship in Tropical Medicine.

REFERENCES

1. Haq MZ, Singh D, Das B. National Mental Health Programme In India: An update. *J Soc Psychiatry* 2008;24:22-9.
2. National Human Rights Commission. Quality Assurance in Mental Health. New Delhi: NHRC; 1999.
3. Lancet Global Mental Health Group. Scaling up services for mental disorders—a call for action. *Lancet* 2007;370:1241-52.
4. Tomlinson M, Rudan I, Saxena S, Swartz L, Tsai A, Patel V. Setting investment priorities for research in global mental health. *Bull World Health Organ* 2009;87:438-46.
5. Tansella M, Thornicroft G. Implementation science: Understanding the translation of evidence into practice. *Br J Psychiatry* 2009;195:283-5.
6. Dias A, Dewey ME, D'Souza J, Dhume R, Motghare DD, Shaji KS, *et al.* The effectiveness of a home care program for supporting caregivers of persons with dementia in developing countries: A randomised controlled trial from Goa, India. *PLoS ONE* 2008;3:e2333.
7. Chatterjee S, Patel V, Chatterjee A, Weiss H. Evaluation of a community based rehabilitation model for chronic schizophrenia in a rural region of India. *Br J Psychiatry* 2003;182:57-62.
8. Rahman A, Malik A, Sikander S, Roberts C, Creed F. Cognitive behaviour therapy-based intervention by community health workers for mothers with depression and their infants in rural Pakistan: A cluster-randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2008;372:902-9.
9. Dias A, Patel V. Closing the treatment gap for dementia in India. *Indian J Psychiatry* 2009;51:S93-S7.
10. Patel VH, Kirkwood BR, Pednekar S, Araya R, King M, Chisholm D, *et al.* Improving the outcomes of primary care attenders with common mental disorders in developing countries: A cluster randomized controlled trial of a collaborative stepped care intervention in Goa, India. *Trials* 2008;9:4.
11. Chatterjee S, Pillai A, Jain S, Cohen A, Patel V. Outcomes of people with psychotic disorders in a community-based rehabilitation programme in rural India. *Br J Psychiatry* 2009;195:433-9.
12. Patel V, Kim YR. Contribution of low- and middle-income countries to research published in leading general psychiatry journals, 2002-2004. *Br J Psychiatry* 2007;190:77-8.
13. Patel V, Araya R, Chatterjee S, Chisholm D, Cohen A, De Silva M, *et al.* Treatment and prevention of mental disorders in low-income and middle-income countries. *Lancet* 2007;370:991-1005.
14. Razzouk D, Sharan P, Gallo C, Gureje O, Lamberte EE, de Jesus Mari J, *et al.* Scarcity and inequity of mental health research resources in low-and-middle income countries: A global survey. *Health Policy* 2009. [Epub ahead of print]
15. Sharan P, Gallo C, Gureje O, Lamberte E, Mari JJ, Mazzotti G, *et al.* Mental health research priorities in low- and middle-income countries of Africa, Asia, Latin America and the Caribbean. *Br J Psychiatry* 2009;195:354-63.
16. Patel V. The future of psychiatry in low and middle income countries. *Psychol Med* 2009; 39:1759-62.
17. Reddy KS. Boosting public health capacity in India. *Natl Med J India* 2006;19:122-5.
18. Grover P, Varma V, Pershad D, Verma S. Role of Yoga in the treatment of neurotic disorders: Current status and future directions. *Indian J Psychiatry* 1996;36:153-62.
19. Patel V. Research in India: Not good enough? *Indian J Psychiatry* 2001;43:375-7.

Source of Support: Global Forum for Health Research, **Conflict of Interest:** None declared